

APPENDIX D

Projects agreed before 2010

The table below highlights the schemes agreed before 2010, and provides a reason for the delay in their progression. Members need to confirm their approval for the project to stay in the capital programme.

Project	Date Funding Originally Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
New Car Parks	Feb 2008	818	673	Delayed due to suitable land not being available at present time. £99k re-profiled to 14/15.	The Council would not be able to meet its requirements under the original adopted car parking strategy. This has now been superseded by the adopted strategy in June 2013 which also identified other towns for new car parks. Suggest the money within the capital budget is available to meet the action points for 'new car parks' in the latest car park strategy.
Dual Use Sports Grants	Feb 2005	260	47	£140,000 was paid out to two projects during 2013/14. £40,000 is allocated for the Holyrood project, and an in-principle offer has been made to the Academy. Project expected to commence in April 2015 subject to the outcome of an application to the English Hockey Board.	<p>An in-principle offer has been made to the Academy.</p> <p>The loss of the £40,000 grant allocated to the Holyrood Academy in 2014/15 would prevent the AGP surface from being replaced, putting the facility at risk of being closed unless alternative funding can be found by the Academy.</p> <p>The reputational damage would be extremely detrimental to the future dual use provision at this site. This provision is a vital component of the sport and leisure strategy and the network of facilities for residents.</p>

Project	Date Funding Originally Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
Market Towns Vision	Feb 2006	438	121	MTIG was modelled on the concept of a local brokering table. Specific Capital Investment Programmes emerge through opportunity and negotiation. The total amount made available through MTIG is more like the capital programme managed in each of the Areas and will contain unallocated balances. It is not one scheme and does not have an end date as such and so has not been delayed in any formal sense. However, delivery is dependent on local capacity to champion schemes and public sector capacity to engage with delivery issues – e.g Coach Parking – both of which can be limited at times. The total capital budget of £450,000 was agreed in 07/08. Since then £ 329k has been spent on over 70 enhancement projects in 11 Market Towns agreed and supported by the Market Towns Investment Group.	The budget remains central to the continuation of this collaborative work. If the capital funds were withdrawn, the raison d'etre for the MTIG would disappear. The MTIG model does work and was supported in the AD lean review and by Scrutiny. The rate of spending is mostly determined by the capacity of SSDC, town councils and local regeneration groups to organise and deliver sound schemes together. This is limited by a variety of local and district wide circumstances. Measures to increase that capacity are possible but would require either increased revenue spend or a further review and re focussing of Area Development Work in North, West and East to invest more in the specific development of MTIG.
Reckleford Gyratory	Feb 2007	1,721	88	Remaining budget will be allocated to remaining works in 14/15.	The appointed contractor is required to complete some lighting works and to replant the majority of the landscaped areas. This retention is being held to ensure they carry out these works, once they have done this satisfactorily the retention will be paid. If the remaining money is no longer there SSDC will be in breach of the contract.
Multi Use Games Area's	Feb 2008	370	115	Five new MUGA's have been created across the district and subject to external funding decisions we expect the	The Council would be unable to provide financial support MUGA projects it has promoted at Wincanton (£35,000 grant

Project	Date Funding Originally Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
				<p>Wincanton MUGA to be delivered this Summer.</p> <p>Ilminster are in the process of establishing a master plan for their recreation ground, which we expect to finalise their MUGA location.</p> <p>Ilminster & the original allocation to Castle Cary have been re-profiled to 15/16.</p>	<p>offer) and Ilminster (£35,000 grant offer). The reputational damage would be high in both communities.</p> <p>No grant offer has been made to reallocate the £35,000 originally declined by Castle Cary. However, they are still looking at potential sites, and also Bruton TC has expressed an interest. Removal of the funding would prevent the Council from being able to support this scheme.</p>
Community Play Schemes	Feb 2007	539	133	<p>14 community play schemes have been completed. In terms of sites in progress the remaining projects:</p> <p><u>Area South:</u> Larkhill – construction work due to start this summer.</p> <p><u>Area North:</u> Stanchester Way - Expect completion in July/Early August.</p> <p>Lavers Oak – no further progress yet.</p> <p><u>Area West:</u> Blackdown View & Furzhill – are largely completed, some small lose ends & retention to pay.</p> <p>Redstart – has now been completed.</p> <p>Packers Way – no further progress yet.</p>	<p>The Council would be unable to maintain and replace the play areas it owns and manages to the required standards.</p> <p>Children would be put at risk.</p> <p>Non-payment of retention sums would place the Council in breach of contract.</p> <p>The reputational damage would be high.</p>
Grants for Parishes with play	Feb 2008	718	73	<p>12 grants to parishes with play areas have been completed and paid. Remaining schemes are in progress and include:</p> <p><u>Area East:</u> Gainsborough – practically complete. Rickhayes – actively fundraising for scheme, re-profiled 15/16.</p> <p><u>Area North:</u> Ilton – keen to progress this year.</p>	<p>The Council would be unable to financial support parish play area projects it has promoted and where local expectations have been raised.</p> <p>Non-payment of retention sums would place Parish Councils in breach of contract. Children may be put at risk. The reputational damage would be high.</p>

Project	Date Funding Originally Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
				<u>Area West</u> : Henhayes - keen to progress this year.	
Land Acquisition & Enhancement at Waterside Road	Feb 2008	35	35	No spend. Within this historic legal agreement we are due to receive an area which is already established as open space. Although we currently maintain some of the other area, the rest has deteriorated and requires significant tree felling and landscape works to reduce risks and make it manageable for the future. The funding profile is being updated in light of the time lapse and the cessation of obligations for the stone wall and a portfolio view sought.	These works and our ability to exercise the option to acquire a car parking area would not happen if the capital allocation is withdrawn. The consequence would be long term decline of this amenity area and increased risk from the unmaintained area. Portfolio view is that we negotiate with the owner on a value of the car park area and proceed with this asap.
Youth Facilities Development	Feb 2007	55	30	Five new youth facility projects have been successfully completed as a result of this capital project. An audit of youth facilities across the district will be completed early this year and enable the settlements most in need of youth facility provision to be identified and supported with the remaining funding.	The Council would be unable to respond to demands for youth facility provision from smaller communities across South Somerset not being supported by the MUGA or Community Play Grant Scheme.
Local Delivery Vehicle (Yeovil Vision)	Feb 2009	100	34	Original budget changed as some transferred direct to individual projects. Remaining budget to be allocated to Yeovil Vision projects.	
Village Hall Grants	Feb 2006	945	15	Officers are in discussions with group for grant award to Martock Community Hall.	These would not be possible without the funding. This would leave £10K remaining unallocated, and if withdrawn would mean no further awards.

Project	Date Funding Originally Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
Foundry House	Apr 99 *	883	4	* Subsequent reports to DX since this date.	New project to be identified to spend remaining money in line with DCLG grant.
South Western Terrace Grants	Mar 2003	210	2	Remaining budget to be spent by end of financial year.	Some funding has been held back as a retainer until a problem with a sign has been resolved. It is hoped that the problem will be resolved shortly and the final instalment of the agreed grant contribution will be paid as agreed in writing by SSDC. If we do not have the final instalment available the grant applicant may begin legal action against us.